Weed control in water-saturated soybean cultivation in the coastal area

Setyowati, N.*, Pujiwati, H., Rasid, R. N., Muktamar, Z. and Fahrurrozi, F.

Department of Crop Production, University of Bengkulu, Bengkulu, Indonesia; Department of Soil Science, University of Bengkulu, Bengkulu, Indonesia.

Setyowati, N., Pujiwati, H., Rasid, R. N., Muktamar, Z. and Fahrurrozi, F. (2025). Weed control in water-saturated soybean cultivation in the coastal area. International Journal of Agricultural Technology 21(1):217-230.

Abstract The study indicated that soybean growth and yield under water-saturated conditions did not significantly differ among various weed control periods or weeding frequencies. Soybeans weeded twice or three times grew similarly to those weeded once. During the study, soybean growth was inhibited even after weed control, indicating faster weed growth compared to soybeans. At 2, 3, and 4 weeks after planting, the predominant weed species was Fimbristylis miliacea, followed by Ludwigia octovalvis and Cyperus sphacelatus R. By harvest time, the experimental site was dominated by *Cyperus halpan*, *F. miliacea*, *Fimbristylis ciliaris*, and *C. sphacelatus* with SDR (Summed Dominance Ratio) values of 25.45%, 24.86%, 13.88%, and 11.67%, respectively. These findings are crucial for managing weeds in soybean cultivation under water-saturated emvironment.

Keywords: Coastal area, Low land, Soybean, Water-saturated cultivation, Weed control

Introduction

Soybeans play a crucial role in Indonesia's economy and culture. This crop is a primary protein source for Indonesians and is essential in traditional dishes like tempeh, tofu, and soy sauce, which are staples in the Indonesian diet. Soybeans are consumed directly and used in various industrial processes such as cooking oil production, snack foods, and animal feed (Yulifianti *et al.*, 2018). This crop is particularly important in regions like East Java, Central Java, and Lampung. The Indonesian government often supports soybean farmers with subsidies, infrastructure development, and research initiatives to enhance soybean productivity and quality. There are various soybean cultivation methods, including the water-saturated cultivation technique (Susilo *et al.*, 2019a; Susilo *et al.*, 2019b; Perkasa *et al.*, 2016).

Water-saturated cultivation is a technique designed for areas with high water capacity. This method involves maintaining water in channels (trenches)

^{*} Corresponding Author: Setyowati, N.; Email: nsetyowati@unib.ac.id

and elevating the soil surface so that only the plant roots are in a water-saturated condition (Ghulamahdi, 1999). This technology can help convert swamp land into productive agricultural land. The optimal water level for water-saturated cultivation is 20 cm below the soil surface, and it is kept constant to help plants adapt and thrive (Ghulamahdi, 2017). Maintaining stable water levels in water-saturated cultivation ensures soil moisture remains at field capacity. At field capacity, soil pores are filled with water, which reduces the harmful effects of pyrite (FeS₂) on plants (Sagala *et al.*, 2011). Besides water availability, weeds also pose a challenge to soybean production (Weber *et al.*, 2016).

Weeds can compete for nutrients, water, light, and space, thereby hindering plant growth. Crop-weed competition intensifies when water, nutrients, and light are simultaneously limited and in demand (Efendy *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, it is essential to control weeds at the appropriate time, known as the critical period, when their presence significantly impedes plant growth. Weed disturbances during this period will decrease crop yield more than during the non-critical period (Harsono, 2017). For soybean plants, the critical period for weed competition occurs two to six weeks after planting (WAP), or roughly a quarter to one-third of the plant's life. Weed invasion during early plant growth reduces soybean yields, and invasion just before harvesting affects soybean quality. Weeds can reduce soybean yields by 18% to 76% (Hendrival *et al.*, 2014; Manurung and Syam'un, 2003). This research aimed to determine the optimal weeding period in water-saturated soybean cultivation.

Materials and methods

Experimental sites and design

The experiment was carried out at the Agronomy Laboratory, the Faculty of Agriculture, Bengkulu University, Indonesia, on swamp land situated 15 meters above sea level. The experiment used a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with 7 treatments, with three repetitions for each treatment. The treatments were as follows: P1 = weeding 2 weeks after planting (WAP), P2 = weeding 3 WAP, P3 = weeding 4 WAP, P4 = weeding 2 WAP and 3 WAP, P5 = weeding 2 WAP and 4 WAP, P6 = weeding 3 WAP and 4 WAP, and P7 = weeding 2 WAP, 3 WAP, and 4 WAP.

Experimental procedure

Prior to tillage, the weeds at the experimental site were controlled using a contact herbicide with the active ingredient paraquat. After two weeks, the soil was ploughed, and experimental plots measuring 1.6 m x 2.0 m (length x width)

were set up with a distance of 50 cm between plots and 80 cm between blocks. Before planting, the soil was fertilized with 5 ton/ha of manure and 3.6 ton/ha of dolomite. The soybean seeds were treated with Rhizobium sp. and sown in holes 2-3 cm deep, with a planting distance of 30 cm x 25 cm. Five Furadan pellets were placed in each planting hole to prevent insect infestation, and the holes were then covered with soil.

At planting, N (urea), P (SP-36), and K (KCl) were applied at rates of 200 kg/ha, 150 kg/ha, and 100 kg/ha, respectively. The water level in the channel was maintained at 20 cm below the soil surface, with additional watering as necessary. Replanting was carried out one week after the initial planting. Insect and disease prevention was managed using insecticides containing 500 g/l of Profenofos and 25 g/l of Deltamethrin. Weeds were controlled manually at 2, 3, and 4 weeks after planting, with control frequencies of 1, 2, and 3 times, respectively, depending on the treatment. Soybeans were harvested 100 days after planting when 80% of the pods conforming the harvest criteria (dry and yellow leaves, firm pods, and brown main stems).

Data collection

Observed variables for soybeans included plant height (cm), number of leaves, number of branches, shoot fresh weight (g/plant), shoot dry weight (g/plant), root fresh weight (g/plant), root dry weight (g/plant), number of pods (pods/plant), number of filled pods (pods/plant), empty pods (pods/plant), seeds weight (g/plant), seeds weight (ton/ha). Initial soil sample was collected at the depth of 0-20 cm and analyzed for Soil pH, organic C, total-N, available-P, exchangeable-K, and exchangeable-Al.

Weed vegetation analysis

Weed vegetation was monitored by analyzing the various types of weeds present in each plot of the experimental field. Weed species were observed at 2, 3, and 4 weeks after planting (WAP) and at harvesting. According to the methodology described by Mangoensoekarjo and Soejono (2015), observations were made using the following formula:

- a. Absolute frequency (AF) is the number of weed species in all sample plots.
- b. The relative frequency (RF) = $\frac{\text{AF weed X}}{\text{AF all weed types}} \times 100\%$.
- c. Absolute dominance (AD) is the weight of weeds from all sample plots.

- d. Relative dominance (RD) = $\frac{AD \text{ weed } X}{AD \text{ all weed types}} \times 100\%$.
- e. Absolute density (AN) is the number of weed types from all sample plots.
- f. The relative density (RN) = $\frac{\text{AN weed X}}{\text{AN all weed types}} \times 100\%$.

g. Summed dominance ratio (SDR) =
$$\frac{3}{3}$$

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) at 5%. Treatment means were separated using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test at 5%.

Results

General outlook of experimental site

The study was conducted from December 2020 to March 2021, during which total rainfall amounted to 608 mm, 374 mm, 388 mm, and 608 mm respectively. The average air temperatures were 26.3°C, 26.8°C, 27.1°C, and 27°C, and the average air humidity levels were 85%, 82%, 82%, and 85% respectively. This rainfall exceeds the average required for soybean growth. The optimal temperature for soybeans is between 25-27°C, with an average air humidity of 50% (Adie and Krisnawati, 2007; Hanafiah *et al.*, 2015). The soil at the study site had a pH of 4.25 (acidic), an organic carbon content of 3.69% (high), nitrogen at 0.23% (low), available phosphorus at 3.19 ppm (low), available potassium at 0.25 me/100 g (low), and exchangeable aluminum at 1.75 me/100 g (high).

Weed vegetation assessment at the experimental site revealed that *F. miliacea, C. sphacelatus* R., *Ludwigia octovalvis*, and *Paspalum commersonii* Lamk grew at 2, 3, and 4 WAP. There were 11 weed species at harvesting, including *F. miliacea, C. sphacelatus., L. octovalvis, C. halpan L., Fuirena ciliaris, Eragrostis curtipedicellata, P. commersonii Lamk., Galium divaricatum, Sporobolus compositus, Panicum repens, and Cyperus articulates.*

Analysis of variance

The analysis of variance indicated that variations in the weeding period only influenced the number of leaves at 5 and 6 weeks after planting (WAP) and the number of branches at 5 WAP. However, by week 8, there were no significant differences in the number of soybean leaves and branches across treatments, nor in other plant growth components (Table 1).

5	5 0 5	
Variable	F-calculated (5%) ¹	Coef. Variation (CV) (%)
Plant height 8 WAP	1.12 ns	11.20
Number of leaves 8 WAP	1.33 ns	18.73
Number of branches 8 WAP	1.27 ns	14.07
Root fresh weight	0.57 ns	27.96
Root dry weight	2.38 ns	27.23
Shoot fresh weight	1.55 ns	22.92
Shoot dry weight	0.81 ns	22.94
Number of pods/plant	1.52 ns	23.18
Number of filled pods/plant	1.69 ns	20.96
Empty pods /plant	0.57 ns	24.76
Seed weight/plant	1.01 ns	23.34
Seeds weight/Ha.	0.82 ns	24.19
F-table	3.00	

Table 1. Variance analysis of soybean growth and yield variables

¹number with the same letter are not significantly different at p-value > 0.05

Weed vegetation analysis

Weed vegetation analysis at 2, 3, and 4 weeks after planting (WAP) identified four weed species at the experimental site: *F. miliacea*, *C. sphacelatus* R, *L. octovalvis*, and *P. commersonii* Lamk. Among these, *P. commersonii* Lamk had the lowest Species Dominance Ratio (SDR), while *F. miliacea* had the highest (Tables 2, 3, and 4).

Table 2. Analysis of weed vegetation 2 weeks after panting

rubie 2. Finalysis of weed vegetation 2 weeks after pairing							
Weed species	AF	RF (%)	AD	RD (%)	AN	RN (%)	SDR (%)
Fimbristylis miliacea	12	30	42.99	45.51	478	61.13	45.55
Cyperus sphacelatus R.	11	27.5	5.91	6.26	153	19.57	17.77
Ludwigia octovalvis	12	30	41.61	44.05	129	16.50	30.18
Paspalum commersonii Lamk.	5	12.5	3.95	4.18	22	2.81	6.50

Table 3 Analysis of wood vagatation 2 wooks after parting					
TAILIE J. A HALVNIN OF WEEL VEVELATION J WEEKS ATTEL DATITIES	Table 3. Analy	vsis of weed	vegetation 3	weeks after	nanting

Weed species	AF	RF (%)	AD	RD (%)	AN	RN (%)	SDR (%)
Fimbristylis miliacea	12	31.58	49.43	40.11	349	73.32	48.34
Cyperus sphacelatus R.	11	28.95	2.62	2.13	67	14.08	15.05
Ludwigia octovalvis	8	21.05	50.45	40.94	38	7.98	23.32
Paspalum commersonii Lamk.	7	18.42	20.74	16.83	22	4.62	13.29

Wood spacing	RF	٨D	RD	A NI	RN	SDR	
weed species	AT (%)		AD	(%)	AIN	(%)	(%)
Fimbristylis miliacea	12	46.15	43.61	47.94	296	74.56	56.22
Cyperus sphacelatus R.	11	42.31	2.13	2.34	80	20.15	21.60
Ludwigia octovalvis	2	7.69	41.1	45.18	17	4.28	19.05
Paspalum commersonii Lamk.	1	3.85	4.12	4.53	4	1.01	3.13

 Table 4. Analysis of weed vegetation 4 weeks after panting

Note: Absolute frequency (AF), Relative frequency (RF), Absolute dominance (AD), Relative dominance (RD), Absolute density (AN), Relative density (RN), Summed dominance ratio (SDR)

F. miliacea is the predominant weed observed at the experimental sites 2, 3, and 4 weeks after planting (WAP), with Species Dominance Ratio (SDR) values of 45.55%, 48.34%, and 56.22%, respectively (Tables 2, 3, and 4). Similarly, *L. octovalvis* emerges as the second most dominant weed species at 2 and 3 weeks after planting, with SDR values of 30.18% and 23.32%, respectively, while *C. sphacelatus* R ranks third during these periods. The SDR of *F. miliacea* is significantly higher compared to *P. commersonii* Lamk., by a factor of 6 at 2 weeks after planting, 2.6 at 3 WAP, and 17 at 4 WAP.

The weed survey conducted at harvest revealed an increase in the number of weed species from four to eleven. At harvest, these weeds consisted of 2 broadleaves, 5 grasses, and 4 sedges (Table 5). Overall, the sedge weed group predominated among the species, accounting for more than 50% of the Species Dominance Ratio (SDR), primarily represented by *C. halpan* L. and *F. miliacea*. *L. octovalvis* dominated the broad-leaved group, *F. ciliaris* led the grasses, and *C. halpan* L. topped the sedges with SDR values of 7.89%, 13.88%, and 25.45%, respectively.

Weeds dry weight

Weed dry weight was assessed at two, three, and four weeks after planting, as well as at harvest. The dry weight of weeds increased with the duration of the weeding intervals following planting (Table 6). In the P3 treatment, weed weight was higher compared to P1 and P2 (single weeding). Conversely, weed weight decreased in treatments P4 to P7 (two or three weedings).

Waad spacies	AF	RF	٨D	RD	۸N	RN	SDR
weeu species	AF	(%)	AD	(%)	AI	(%)	(%)
Broadleaves							
Ludwigia octovalvis	13	12.62	242.88	8.80	52	2.24	7.89
Galium divaricatum	1	0.97	13.37	0.48	20	0.86	0.77
Grasses							
Fuirena ciliaris	16	15.53	361.94	13.11	302	13.01	13.88
Paspalum commersonii Lamk.	8	7.77	281.67	10.20	57	2.45	6.81
Panicum repens L.	5	4.85	176.10	6.38	21	0.90	4.05
Eragrostis curtipedicellata	3	2.91	35.64	1.29	46	1.98	2.06
Sporobolus compositus	3	2.91	54.27	1.97	14	0.60	1.83
Sedge							
Cyperus halpan L.	21	20.39	523.67	18.97	859	36.99	25.45
Fimbristylis miliacea	20	19.42	718.13	26.01	677	29.16	24.86
Cyperus sphacelatus R.	12	11.65	334.81	12.13	261	11.24	11.67
Cyperus articulatus L.	1	0.97	18.32	0.66	13	0.56	0.73
Total	103	100	2760.8	100	2322	100	100

Table 5. Analysis of weed vegetation at harvest

Note: Absolute frequency (AF), Relative frequency (RF), Absolute dominance (AD), Relative dominance (RD), Absolute density (AN), Relative density (RN), Summed dominance ratio (SDR)



Figure 1. Effect of mechanical weed control on the growth of water-saturated cultivated soybeans at 2,3 and 4 week after planting (a, b, c) and at harvest (d)

Treatment	2 WAP	3WAP	4 WAP	Total
P ₁	36.08	-	-	36.08
P_2	-	90.44	-	90.44
P ₃	-	-	112.04	112.04
\mathbf{P}_4	28.84	3.24	-	32.08
P 5	43.28	-	5.32	48.6
\mathbf{P}_{6}	-	67.44	1.96	69.4
P ₇	25.76	3.2	1.96	30.92

Table 6. Weeds dry weight at 2, 3, and 4 weeks after planting (WAP)

Note: P1 = weeding 2 WAP, P2 = weeding 3 WAP, P3 = weeding 4 WAP, P4 = weeding 2 and 3 WAP, P5 = weeding 2 and 4 WAP, P6 = weeding 3 and 4 WAP, P7 = weeding 2, 3 and 4 WAP, WAP: week after planting.

The dry weight of weeds at harvest was significantly higher compared to measurements taken at 2, 3, and 4 weeks after planting (WAP) (Table 7). When weeds were controlled at 2, 3, and 4 WAP, their biomass dry weight increased by 20.1 times at harvest compared to the weight observed at 2 WAP, indicating rapid weed growth during the soybean growing season. This increase was substantially greater than when weeds were only controlled at 2 WAP.

Table 7. Weeds dry weight at harvest

Treatment	Weed dry weight (g/m ²)
P1	622.32
P_2	588.48
P3	408.28
P 4	496.16
P5	484.04
\mathbf{P}_{6}	538.2
\mathbf{P}_7	543.64

Note: P1 = weeding 2 WAP, P2 = weeding 3 WAP, P3 = weeding 4 WAP, P4 = weeding 2 and 3 WAP, P5 = weeding 2 and 4 WAP, P6 = weeding 3 and 4 WAP, P7 = weeding 2, 3 and 4 WAP.

Effect of weeding time on soybean plant growth and yield

It indicated that the timing of weeding did not have a significant effect on root fresh weight, root dry weight, shoot fresh weight, or shoot dry weight (Table 8). Weeding at 2 and 3 weeks after planting (WAP) (P4) resulted in higher shoot and root weights. In water-saturated cultivation, weeds thrive, which contributed to lower weights of soybean shoots and roots.

	Variables						
Treatments	RFW	RDW	SFW	SDW			
	(g)	(g)	(g)	(g)			
\mathbf{P}_1	3.80	1.81	28.66	11.42			
\mathbf{P}_2	4.02	1.83	26.61	10.28			
P ₃	3.86	1.84	29.38	12.40			
\mathbf{P}_4	5.16	2.57	37.92	15.26			
P ₅	3.76	2.05	32.15	13.02			
\mathbf{P}_{6}	3.94	2.13	30.94	12.59			
\mathbf{P}_7	4.22	2.24	28.86	12.25			

Table 8. Effect of weeding on root and shoot fresh and dry weight

Note: P1 = weeding 2 WAP, P2 = weeding 3 WAP, P3 = weeding 4 WAP, P4 = weeding 2 and 3 WAP, P5 = weeding 2 and 4 WAP, P6 = weeding 3 and 4 WAP, P7 = weeding 2, 3 and 4 WAP. RFW = root fresh weight, RDW= root dry weight, SFW= shoot fresh weight, SDW = shoot dry weight, WAP: week after planting

Weeding time variations did not significantly affect the number of pods, filled pods, empty pods, seed weight, and seed weight per hectare (Table 9). The weed control at various timings and frequencies was not significantly differed in soybean yields (Table 9). The number of pods produced ranged from 30 to 42, similar to the Deja 1 soybean variety, which typically yields around 36 pods. Extensive weed presence in the experimental field hindered soybean pod filling, resulting in an increased number of empty pods. The highest soybean seed weight per hectare recorded was 0.79 tons. The yield was lower than the potential yield of the Deja 1 variety, which was 2.87 tons/ha. Weeding three times at 2, 3, and 4 weeks after planting (WAP) resulted in a seed weight of 0.73 tons/hectare. Weeds significantly reduced soybean yields when planted using water-saturated methods in coastal areas, by as much as 74.56%.

	Variables							
Treatments	Pod number	Filled	Empty	Seed weight	Seed weight			
		pod	pod	(g)	(ton/Ha)			
\mathbf{P}_1	32.89	10.83	22.05	4.60	0.61			
P_2	30.05	9.39	21.44	4.20	0.56			
P ₃	36.94	12.72	23.78	5.67	0.75			
\mathbf{P}_4	42.77	22.16	20.61	5.99	0.79			
P ₅	39.55	18.44	21.11	5.54	0.73			
\mathbf{P}_{6}	33.33	13.99	19.33	4.67	0.62			
\mathbf{P}_7	39.05	19.55	19.50	5.81	0.73			

Table 9. Effect of weeding on soybean pod number and seed weight

Note: P1 = weeding 2 WAP, P2 = weeding 3 WAP, P3 = weeding 4 WAP, P4 = weeding 2 and 3 WAP, P5 = weeding 2 and 4 WAP, P6 = weeding 3 and 4 WAP, P7 = weeding 2, 3 and 4 WAP, WAP: week after planting

Discussion

Weed observations indicated that *F. miliacea* was the predominant weed species at experimental site 2, 3, and 4 weeks after planting. This weed species was a sedge characterized by its upright growth and vigorous shoots, reaching a height of 60 cm. It thrives in wetlands which had a short germination time of about 7 days and was moderately competitive with intense root competition. With a year-long life cycle, it can produce several generations in one season and reproduced via seeds weighing only 0.02 mg. The plant had a flowering period of around 30 days and lacks a dormancy period (Caton *et al.*, 2011). *F. miliacea* is highly adaptable to various environments and conditions and can be found in tropical and subtropical regions such as Australia, Bangladesh, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippines, and Thailand.

Weeds commonly found in soybean planting areas included Echinochloa crus-galli, Cyperus rotundus, Eleusine indica, Echinochloa colona, Ageratum conyzoides, Cyperus iria, Phyllanthus urinaria, Alternanthera piloxeroides, Physalis angulata L., Cynodon dactylon, Amaranthus spinosus L., Leersia hexandra, and Dactyloctenium aegyptium. According to (20), the dominant weed flora in soybean fields includes Amaranthus viridis, Commelina benghalensis, Parthenium hysterophorus, Trianthema portulacastrum, Digera arvensis, C. dactylon, E. colona, and C. rotundus.

Consistent with previous study, the findings from the study conducted under water-saturated conditions revealed the emergence of various weed types, including broad-leaved weeds, grasses, and sedges. These included *F. miliacea*, *C. sphacelatus*, *L. octovalvis*, *C. halpan*, *F. ciliaris*, *E. curtipedicellata*, *P. commersonii* Lamk., *G. divaricatum*, *S. compositus*, *P. repens*, and *Cyperus articulatus*. Weed identification was conducted both during critical growth stages and at harvest.

During harvesting, dominant weed species include *C. halpan* L., *F. miliacea*, *F. ciliaris*, and *C. sphacelatus* R., each with a Species Dominance Ratio (SDR) exceeding 10%. According to Caton *et al.* (2011), *C. halpan* L. is an allelopathic weed that grows upright in clumps, reaching heights of up to 0.8 m. It exhibited a moderate competitive ability, thrives in wetlands, germinates within seven days, reproduces via seeds and rhizomes, flowers 30 days after emergence, has seeds with a dormancy period, prefers full sunlight for germination, and can grow throughout the year up to an elevation of 1200 meters above sea level.

According to Moenandir (2010), *C. halpan* L. and *C. sphacelatus* are weeds that reproduce both vegetatively and generatively, making them challenging to manage. These weeds are allelopathic, meaning they produce secondary metabolites that inhibit the growth of surrounding plants. As repoted by Akbar

(2012), the dominance of these weeds is attributed to their high seed production and extensive dispersal capabilities, which complicates control efforts. *F. miliacea* and *F. ciliaris* are prolific seed producers with rapid growth rates, further complicating control measures. Research findings Kilkoda (2017) identify *Boreria alata*, *Mimosa pudica*, *Phyllanthus niruri*, and *A. conyzoides* as prominent broadleaf weeds in soybean fields. *E. indica*, *Paspalum conjugatum*, *E. crus-galli*, and *Axonopus compressus* are prevalent among grass species, while *Cyperus* spp. dominate the sedge group.

Weed dry weight at harvest increased significantly. According to Christia et al., 2016), higher weed dry weight indicates better growth and stronger competition against crops. Weed density plays a crucial role in determining weed dry weight. A dense weed population combined with a prolonged growing season will result in increased weed dry weight (Prayogo et al., 2017). Weeds growing alongside crops compete for nutrients, sunlight, water, and growing space, especially when these resources are limited. Without proper weeding practices, weeds can grow unchecked, significantly impacting crop growth (Zimdahl and Basinger, 2024; Zimdahl, 2004). The increase in weed dry weight might also be attributed to the moist soil conditions in water-saturated agriculture and the presence of weed seed banks. Weeds thrive in environments with high water and soil moisture levels, allowing them to flourish by producing more tillers and seeds, thereby dominating the experimental area. As noted in Paiman (2020) and Aisyah and Nugroho (2019), a seed bank refers to a reservoir of weed seeds in the soil, which can germinate and establish new weeds under favorable conditions. Weed seeds within the seed bank can remain viable for extended periods, even under harsh environmental conditions. Typically, weed seed bank density is highest within the top 0-5 cm of soil. The types of weeds present in the seed bank can often be identified by observing their resurgence following weed control measures.

The timing of weeding did not impact the growth and yield of soybeans grown in saturated soil conditions. This result is closely associated with the competition between soybeans and weeds. This study corroborates findings from (Nurjannah, 2003), highlighting that weeds in inundated areas impede plant growth due to their vigorous and rapid proliferation. Competition between weeds and soybeans after the final weeding at 4 WAP suppresses soybean growth.

As stated in Utami and Rahadian (2020), soybean growth is hindered by competition with weeds for essential resources such as water, nutrients, light, growing space, oxygen, and carbon dioxide, all crucial for photosynthesis. Shoot weight reflects the plant's uptake of water and nutrients, directly influencing its photosynthetic efficiency. Root weight indicates the water and nutrient reserves within the root tissue, reflecting the plant's overall metabolic activity in the roots. Root length plays a significant role in determining root weight, as it correlates with the extent of nutrient and water absorption. Optimal availability of water and nutrients can lead to unhindered root development. According to Prasetyo and Hajoeningtias (2018), weeds have a higher demand for nutrients and water, which restricts soybean growth, especially at the root level where competition between soybean and weed roots intensifies.

Weed competition with soybeans reduces the rate of photosynthesis, leading to decreased storage of photosynthate in the seeds and a lower soybean seed weight (Lailiyah *et al.*, 2014; Peer *et al.*, 2013). As weed populations increase, plant growth becomes more stressed, resulting in fewer soybean pods being produced. Conversely, seed weight per hectare is influenced by both the number of pods and seeds (Widyatama *et al.*, 2010). According to Aisyah and Nugroho (2019), a weed-free period in mineral-rich soil can enhance the number of pods and seeds per plant, as well as the weight of 100 seeds and the dry seed weight per hectare. The critical growth period for black soybeans like Mallika is between 2 and 6 weeks after planting, with crop losses ranging from 23.61% to 83.54% from early planting to harvest (Gultom *et al.*, 2017).

In summary, the frequency and timing of weed control showed minimal impact on soybean growth and yield in water-saturated farming in coastal regions. Weeding once, twice, or three times did not significantly affect soybean growth or yield, except for influencing the number of leaves and branches. Dominant weeds at harvest included *C. halpan*, *F. miliacea*, *F. ciliaris*, and *C. sphacelatus* each with a Species Dominance Ratio (SDR) exceeding 10%. Mechanical methods for controlling weeds are ineffective in reducing weed infestation in water-saturated soybean cultivation. Therefore, alternative methods need to be explored to develop more effective weed control tools in tidal environments.

References

- Adie, M. M. and Krisnawati, A. (2007). Biologi tanaman kedelai [Biology of soybean plants]. Balai Peneliti Kacang-Kacangan dan Umbi-Umbian, Malang, Indonesia.
- Aisyah, S. Y. N. and Nugroho, A. (2019). Critical period of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merril) Grobogan varieties in competition with weeds. Jurnal Produksi Tanaman, 7:2135-2143.
- Akbar, A. (2012). Pengaruh Sistem Olah Tanah dan Waktu Penyiangan pada Pertumbuhan dan Hasil Kedelai (*Glycine max* (L.) Var. Grobogan) [The Effect of Tillage System and Weeding Time on The Growth and Yield of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Var. Grobogan)] (Master Thesis). Brawijaya University, Indonesia.
- Caton, B. P., Mortimer, M., Hill, J. E. and Johnson, D. E. (2011). Panduan lapang praktis untuk gulma padi di Asia (Edisi kedua, Bahasa Indonesia) [Practical field guide for rice weeds in Asia (Second edition, Indonesian language)]. International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Philippines.

- Christia, A., Sembodo, D. R. J. and Hidayat, K. F. (2016). Pengaruh jenis dan tingkat kerapatan gulma terhadap pertumbuhan dan produksi kedelai (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) [The effect of weed type and density on the growth and production of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill)]. Jurnal Agrotek Tropika, 4:22-28.
- Efendy, D. Y., Yudono, P. and Respatie, D. W. (2020). The effect of weed control methods on weed dominance, growth, and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.). Vegetalika, 9: 449-463.
- Ghulamahdi, M. (1999). Perubahan Fisiologi Tanaman Kedelai (*Glycine Max* (L.) Merill) pada Budidaya Tadah Hujan dan Jenuh Air (Doctoral Dissertation). Institut Pertanian Bogor, Indonesia.
- Ghulamahdi, M. (2017). Adaptasi kedelai budidaya jenuh air untuk produktivitas tinggi di lahan pasang surut. IPB Press, Indonesia.
- Gultom, S., Zaman, S. and Purnamawati, H. (2017). The critical period for the growth of black soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr) in competition with weeds. Buletin Agrohorti, 5:45-54.
- Hanafiah, D., Sibarani, I. and Lahay, R. (2015). Respon morfologi tanaman kedelai (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) varietas Anjasmoro terhadap beberapa iradiasi sinar gamma [Morphological response of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) variety Anjasmoro to several gamma radiation exposures]. Jurnal Online Agroekoteknologi, 3:515-526.
- Harsono, A. (2017). Pengenalan dan pengelolaan gulma pada kedelai [Introduction and management of weeds in soybeans]. Balai Penelitian Tanaman Aneka Kacang dan Umbi, Malang, Indonesia.
- Hendrival, Wirda, Z. and Azis, A. (2014). Critical period of soybean to weed competition. Jurnal Floratek, 9:6-13.
- Kilkoda, A. K. (2017). Effect of weed control period on yield component of 3 different size soybean varieties (*Glycine max* (L.) Merril). Agrosainstek, 1:23-33.
- Lailiyah, W. N., Widaryanto, E. and Wicaksono, K. P. (2014). Pengaruh Periode Penyiangan Gulma terhadap Pertumbuhan dan Hasil Tanaman Kacang Panjang (*Vigna sesquipedalis* L.) (Master Thesis) *in Indonesian*. Brawijaya University, Malang, Indonesia.
- Mangoensoekarjo, S. and Soejono, A. T. (2015). Ilmu gulma dan pengelolaan pada budidaya perkebunan [Weed science and management in plantation cultivation]. Gajah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.
- Manurung, J. and Syam'un, E. (2003). Hubungan komponen hasil dengan hasil kedelai (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) yang ditanam pada lahan diolah berbeda sistem dan berasosiasi dengan gulma [The relationship between yield components and soybean yield (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) grown on different tillage systems and associated with weeds]. Jurnal Agrivigor, 3:179-188.
- Moenandir, J. (2010). Ilmu gulma [Weed science]. Universitas Brawijaya Press, Malang, Indonesia.
- Nurjannah, U. (2003). Effects of glyphosate and 24-D dosages on weed succession and no-tillage soybean. Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Pertanian Indonesia, 5:27-33.
- Paiman. (2020). Gulma tanaman pangan [Weeds of food crops]. UPY Press, Indonesia.
- Peer, F. A., Hassan, B., Lone, B. A., Qayoom, S., Ahmad, L., Khanday, B. A., ... and Singh, G. (2013). Effect of weed control methods on yield and yield attributes of soybean. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 8:6135-6141.
- Perkasa, A. Y., Ghulamahdi, M. and Guntoro, D. (2016). Using herbicides for weed control on soybean saturated culture on the tidal swamp. Penelitian Pertanian Tanaman Pangan, 35:63-69.

- Prasetyo, B. G. and Hajoeningtias, M. T. (2018). Weeds and growth on soybean cultivation with biofertilizer and organic mulch in a saline environment. Jurnal Pertanian Berkelanjutan, 3:46-54.
- Prayogo, D. P., Sebayang, H. T. and Nugroho, A. (2017). The effect of weed control on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merril) in various tillage systems. Jurnal Produksi Tanaman, 5:24-32.
- Sagala, D., Ghulamahdi, M. and Melati, M. (2011). Nutrient uptake and growth of soybean varieties under saturated soil culture on tidal swamps. Jurnal Agroqua: Media Informasi Agronomi dan Budidaya Perairan, 9:1-10.
- Susilo, E., Kinata, A. and Novita, D. (2019a). Growth and soybean yield with the use ameliorant coral stone on swamp land using saturated soil culture technology. Jurnal Agroqua, 17:8-19.
- Susilo, E., Novitasari, H. and Hamron, N. (2019b). Application of water saturation culture technology in four varieties of soybean in swamp land with ameliorant addition containing natural calcium. Agritepa, 6:55-63.
- Utami, S. and Rahadian, R. (2010). Kompetisi gulma dan tanaman wortel pada perlakukan pupuk organik dan effective microorganisms [Weed and carrot plant competition under organic fertilizer and effective microorganisms treatment]. Jurnal Bioma, 12:40-43.
- Weber, J. F., Kunz, C. and Gerhards, R. (2016). Chemical and mechanical weed control in soybean (*Glycine max*). Retried from https://doi.org/10.5073/jka.2016.452.022
- Widyatama, C. E., Tohari and Rogomulyo, R. (2010). Critical period of black soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merill) against weed. Vegetalika, 1:32-41.
- Yulifianti, R., Muzaiyanah, S. and Utomo, J. S. (2018). Soybean as a functional food rich in isoflavones. Bulletin Palawija, 16:84-93.
- Zimdahl, R. L. (2004). Weed-crop competition: A review (2nd ed.). Blackwell Publishing, Australia. Retried from <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3746(82)90019-1</u>
- Zimdahl, R. L. and Basinger, N. T. (2024). Fundamentals of weed science. Elsevier.

(Received: 21 September 2024, Revised: 6 January 2025, Accepted: 10 January 2025)